



(FORM UPDATED: 08/11/2010)

WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE ... PUBLIC HEARING - COMMITTEE RECORDS

2009-10

(session year)

<u> Ioint</u>

(Assembly, Senate or Joint)

Committee for Review of Administrative Rules ...

COMMITTEE NOTICES ...

- Committee Reports ... CR
- Executive Sessions ... ES
- Public Hearings ... PH

INFORMATION COLLECTED BY COMMITTEE FOR AND AGAINST PROPOSAL

- Appointments ... Appt (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Clearinghouse Rules ... CRule (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Hearing Records ... bills and resolutions (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)

(ab = Assembly Bill)

(ar = Assembly Resolution)

(ajr = Assembly Joint Resolution)

(**sb** = Senate Bill)

(**sr** = Senate Resolution)

(sjr = Senate Joint Resolution)

Miscellaneous ... Misc

^{*} Contents organized for archiving by: Stefanie Rose (LRB) (June 2012)

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

NOTICE TO PRESIDING OFFICERS OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

Pursuant to s. 227.19, Stats., notice is hereby given that final draft rules are being submitted to the presiding officer of each house of the legislature. The rules being submitted are:

Board Order No.:

WM-04-08

Clearinghouse Number

CR08-022

Subject of Rules:

Hunting on the Ice Age Trail

Date of Transmittal:

August 26, 2010

Send a copy of any correspondence or notices pertaining to the rule to:

Scott Loomans
Bureau of Wildlife Management
101 South Webster St., WM/6
P O Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

An electronic copy of the proposed rules submittal may be obtained by contacting: Linda.haddix@wisconsin.gov

REPORT TO LEGISLATURE

NR 10 and 45, Wis. Adm. Code
Hunting on State Ice Age trail areas that are owned by the department
Board Order No. WM-04-08
Clearinghouse Rule No. 08-022

Basis and Purpose of the Proposed Rule

Property which is purchased as part of the Ice Age trail and associated areas falls under the definition of state parks (Appendix A). Under s. 29.089 Stats., hunting is prohibited in state parks unless authorized by rule. This rule proposal allows the department to authorize hunting on state-owned portions of State Ice Age trail areas without going through individual rulemaking for each property.

This proposal directs the department to consider several factors when deciding to allow hunting and which opportunities to provide at an Ice Age Trail area property upon purchase. The same criteria will be considered again when hunting is considered as part of the master planning process. These criteria were initially developed by the department and a diverse group of stakeholders for ch. NR 52, Wis. Admin. Code, and relate to determining when a nature based outdoor recreation activity will not be allowed on lands acquired with stewardship funds.

Lands purchased for state parks are not subject to the statutory access requirements of other stewardship lands under s. 23.0916, Stats. The issues regarding allowing an activity while preserving the primary purpose and preventing user conflict are similar, however. Using these criteria to evaluate when hunting will be allowed on the Ice Age Trail areas takes advantage of the extensive public process and significant deliberation that went into its development. The factors to be considered are:

- The primary purpose for the project.
- User incompatibility and how this incompatibility may lead to the primary purpose of the project being significantly altered or curtailed.
- The complexity, feasibility, practicality and cost effectiveness of separating activities by time and space or any other manner that might mitigate user incompatibility and or reduce the need for enforcement.
- The size, shape and location of the property as well as surrounding land uses, including
 the use of public lands immediately adjacent to the property which may or may not have
 been funded with stewardship funds. The use of adjacent lands shall not be used as the
 sole factor in making a determination.
- The mix of activities at the location of the property that, to the extent practicable, will provide a quality experience for all compatible users and uses.

This proposal creates several options for providing hunting opportunities where it is determined that hunting will be allowed. During the period prior to master planning for a property, one of the following options may be selected to allow hunting for deer, elk, turkeys or small game:

- Hunting is allowed during all of the seasons established in s. NR 10.01.
- Deer hunting is allowed during all seasons which occur beginning on the Saturday immediately preceding the Thanksgiving holiday and continuing through the close of the late archery season.
- Archery deer hunting is allowed during the period beginning on November 1 and continuing through the remainder of the statewide archery deer season.
- Hunting for any species for which a season is open during the period beginning on November 1 and ending on March 31.

Under the proposal, hunting must be re-evaluated as part of a property master plan. The master planning process established in ch. NR 44, Wis. Admin. Code is an opportunity for more significant public involvement and professional evaluation of the management of recreational use for a property. Master plans must be approved and may be amended by the Natural Resources Board under ch. NR 44.04(3) Wis. Admin. Code. Plans are re-evaluated and may be amended. As part of the master planning process, a prohibition of hunting could be re-established, hunting could be prohibited, or it could be allowed. The options for allowing hunting for deer, elk, turkeys and small game which may be adopted as part of a property master plan are:

- Hunting is allowed during all of the seasons established in s. NR 10.01.
- Hunting may be limited to the period beginning on November 1 and continuing through March 31.
- Deer hunting may be allowed but limited to seasons which occur beginning on the Saturday immediately preceding the Thanksgiving holiday and continuing through the close of the late archery season.
- Hunting may be limited to the use of archery gear only during seasons established in s.
 NR 10.01 except that it may only occur during the period beginning on November 1 and continuing through March 31.
- Hunting may be limited to portions of a property by posted notice.
- Hunting may be prohibited on the travelled portion of the trail.
- Hunting may be prohibited on the travelled portion of the trail and within 50 feet of the center of the travelled portion.
- Deer hunting may be allowed during the four day October firearm seasons established in s. NR10.01(3)(e) and (et).
- Hunting may be allowed during the first three spring turkey hunting periods in s. NR 10.01(2)(f).

The selection of a liberal hunting season option is anticipated in situations where little or no user conflict is anticipated and there will be little need to separate property users by time. In order to preserve the primary use of Ice Age Trail Area properties, it may be necessary to separate users by providing some of the more limited hunting opportunities listed above. For example, it would be possible immediately upon purchase or after master planning to allow hunting but limit it to the firearm and archery deer hunting that occurs beginning with the traditional November firearm deer season and continuing through the close of the late archery season. Limiting hunting to only those seasons occurring during the period beginning on November 1 and continuing through March 31 is another option which could be selected to prevent user conflict. Other options which could only be selected through the master planning process include allowing firearm deer hunting during the October season or limiting hunting to archery-only for all species. Allowing turkey hunting during the first three of the six spring turkey hunting periods is consistent with management of many traditional state park properties. It may ultimately be possible to offer hunting opportunities on more properties by providing as many options as possible rather than forcing managers to choose between recommending all hunting or none.

This rule also provides flexibility to avoid user conflict by separating property users by space. Under the proposal, portions of individual properties could be closed to hunting immediately upon purchase or as part of a property's master plan. This flexibility is consistent with current practice in traditional state parks, where hunting is not allowed in developed areas such as campgrounds.

The Ice Age trail traverses other department properties that are managed as public hunting or fishing grounds or for some other purpose. This rule would not change the types of activities that are currently allowed on those properties or on the trail where it traverses that property.

It is currently illegal to possess a firearm, air gun or bow in state parks unless the gun is unloaded and enclosed in a carrying case or a person is engaged in a legal hunting activity at that park. This proposal establishes an exemption from that requirement for hunters who are crossing the

Ice Age trail where hunting is not allowed on the travelled portion of the trail but is allowed adjacent to the trail. This convenience will be afforded to anyone who wants to cross the trail, whether from adjacent public or private lands where hunting is allowed.

In implementation of this rule, the department believes that it will be important to provide sufficient notice of possible hunting decisions in advance of the property acquisition process. The department will evaluate providing individual notification over the internet, or through other means, to any person requesting to receive a notice of a proposal to allow or prohibit hunting on department lands that are proposed to be acquired as part of the State Ice Age Trail area. The department will also evaluate the feasibility of providing a news release or other notice of intended actions in a way that is consistent with how notices under ch. NR 150 Wis. Admin. Code are issued for acquisitions of stewardship lands following ch. NR 52 requirements. This proposed rule change does not require hunting decisions to be addressed immediately at time of acquisition, but rather anytime prior to master planning. Should the department recommend, when necessary to complete transactions in a timely way, and the Natural Resources Board accept, hunting decisions may be deferred at time of acquisition until adequate public input can be noticed and received. It would be the Department's intent that this deferral would not be for a period beyond six months from the date of acquisition.

Summary of Public Comments and Department Response

The level of opposition to allowing hunting on Ice Age Trail areas varied among people who said they were generally opposed to the rule. Comments ranged from, "hiking and hunting are not compatible" to support for hunting in "appropriate areas", "when hikers are not present", "if the size of the property is appropriate", or "after study and planning".

People who generally supported the rule also supported hunting on these properties but at some times qualified their comments by saying that safety should be considered.

Written Comments

Fifty-two people submitted letters or emails during the comment periods. Additional written testimony was also provided at the public hearings.

Thirty-nine people commented that they had concerns, strong concerns, or were completely opposed to allowing hunting on State Ice Age trail areas. The most common reason for their concerns was that they believe hunting and trapping (trapping would not be authorized in this rule) are not compatible with the primary purpose of the trail which is hiking.

Thirteen people submitted comments in support of allowing hunting (and trapping) along the Ice Age Trail. Nearly all comments mentioned Stewardship Program funding and suggested that those lands should be open to hunting in most situations.

Response to Public Comments

Following is a sample of opinions expressed at the hearings and through written comments and the department's response.

This rule does not assure that the primary use of the Ice Age Trail continues to be as a footpath. In order to provide further assurance that management will continue to be primarily for foot travel, the department has modified this proposal to restate language from Ch. NR 1.29 in the section which is created by this proposal. Ch. NR 1.29 establishes that the Ice Age trail shall be managed primarily as a footpath for pedestrian use. Another change that has been proposed following the hearings is that the presumption will be that a property is closed to hunting unless action has been taken upon purchase or through the master planning process to make it open. This rule will not require or encourage management practices such as stocking or improving habitat specifically for game species.

The proposed rules do not provide a reasonable method for determining when public hunting should be allowed on an Ice Age Trail area.

The rule now provides an extensive list of factors to consider when decisions to allow hunting are made. These factors shall be considered when initial decisions are made upon purchase and again when master planning is done for a property. The department shall consider the; 1) primary purpose for the project, 2) User incompatibility and how this incompatibility may lead to the primary purpose of the project being significantly altered or curtailed, 3) the complexity, feasibility, practicality and cost effectiveness of separating activities by time and space or any other manner that might mitigate user incompatibility and or reduce the need for enforcement, 4) the size, shape and location of the property as well as surrounding land uses, including the use of public lands immediately adjacent to the property which may or may not have been funded with stewardship funds. The use of adjacent lands shall not be used as the sole factor in making a determination, 5) the mix of activities at the location of the property that, to the extent practicable, will provide a quality experience for all compatible users and uses.

Hunting and hiking are generally incompatible.

The 2005-2010 Wisconsin Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan prepared by the department shows that, while hiking and hunting are activities that are competitive, their level of compatibility is not perceived as rising to the higher level of being antagonistic. This proposal recognizes the value based conflict identified during the hearing process and addresses it by creating opportunities to separate hunters from hikers by time, space, or both in situations where that may be needed.

There should be at least a few areas closed to hunting, state parks no longer guarantee a nonhunting experience.

This rule is not likely to change previous policy related to allowing hunting on Ice Age Trail area properties. Hunting has occurred prior to the understanding that they are in fact parks. Hunting may occur on future purchases but will not be allowed in all situations.

DNR should develop a practical planning process of land management on the trail. The department believes it has done that by establishing the ability to separate hunters and hikers by time and space where necessary, using codified criteria, and allowing these decisions to be made both upon purchase and evaluated again during the more intensive master planning process.

There should be a 300 foot buffer on each side of the Ice Age Trail. There is not a widely accepted minimum "buffer" distance for hunting to be separated from a trail. A no hunting zone that would effectively be 200 yards would be impractical on some properties because the trail will not be identifiable at that distance. Since such a buffer has not been needed and would not be possible where the trail traverses private or other government lands, it may not be needed in this situation. The option to establish a 50' buffer was selected because it is consistent with rules prohibiting the discharge of firearms near a public roadway.

These lands are in the heart of the most populated areas of Wisconsin and a requirement to hunt smaller tracts that are needed to complete the trail will have a chilling potential to sellers. There is not a requirement to allow hunting on Ice Age Trail area properties in this rule. The properties described here are ones where hunting is less likely to occur.

I would not hike on the trail when hunting seasons are open.

Most hunting seasons are statewide in nature and hunting may occur nearly anywhere that firearm discharge is not prohibited by local ordinances. By establishing the ability to close portions of an Ice Age Trail area property and providing the option of more limited times when hunting may occur, there may actually be less hunting occurring than on other types of department property or on private land.

Decisions to allow hunting should be made on a case-by-case basis, should not be a blanket allowance of hunting.

By making a decision for each property upon purchase and considering hunting again during master planning, this proposal sets up precisely the type of management strategy that this comment suggests.

Hunting should not be allowed until it is proven safe.

Again, by making individual decisions for each property upon purchase and considering hunting again during master planning, this proposal seems to set up the type of management strategy suggested in the comment.

Who will enforce rules and pay for increased management needs from these rules? Hunting has occurred at existing properties and hunting regulations enforcement has occurred under existing budgetary conditions. The most significant increase in expense is anticipated to be for signage necessitated by more complex regulations. It is anticipated that these costs can be absorbed under current department budgets.

Please do not exclude hunters and fisherman from stewardship lands.

While ACT 20 does require access by hunters and anglers in most situations to stewardship lands, state parks are exempt from that requirement. This proposal does, however, try to include as many users as possible on these lands while still recognizing the primary use as a pedestrian foot path.

The department should have the right to close certain areas to hunting for safety. This proposal does contain the ability to close certain properties or portions of properties for safety-related reasons as well as to reduce user conflict. These lands should be open to trapping.

The department does not have statutory authority to allow trapping or bear hunting on state park lands. The department may only authorize deer, elk, wild turkey or small game hunting by rule.

Safety and endangered resource concerns may be mitigated by the use of limited hunts which would provide additional opportunities rather than deny the activity.

The department agrees with this statement and believes that this proposal is consistent with this statement.

We support the 50' firearms discharge prohibitions but that needs to be reconciled with the fact that there are private and public properties that operate successfully without that prohibition. The department agrees with this statement and has modified the rule so the 50' provision is not automatically in effect but may be implemented as part of the property master plan. In many cases trails are not built on newly purchased property until the planning process is complete.

Requiring people to unload their firearm before crossing the trail will not add any safety factor to the rule.

The department has modified this proposal so that firearms do not have to be unloaded before crossing the trail.

Hunters and hikers should be kept separate as much as possible.

The department agrees that there will be situations where user conflict can be prevented by separating hunters and hikers by time or space. There will also be situations where separation may not be necessary. This proposal reflects those opinions and establishes the flexibility needed to deal with both situations.

Prior to the acquisition of a property, it may not be possible to tell members of the public, neighbors, or owners of land proposed to be acquired for the trail with absolute certainty that hunting will, or will not be, allowed.

Until the department considers all of the factors required under the rule and board action is taken, the department agrees that this will be a concern with some acquisitions. Providing the most public notice possible will help to alleviate some of these concerns. In implementing these rules, the department will evaluate providing individual notification over the internet, or through other means, to any person requesting to receive a notice of a proposal to allow or prohibit hunting on department lands that are proposed to be acquired as part of the State Ice Age Trail area. The department will also evaluate the feasibility of providing a news release or other notice of intended actions in a way that is consistent with how notices under ch. NR 150 Wis. Admin. Code are issued for purchases of stewardship lands under ch. NR 52.

Tom Gilbert, National Park Service Superintendent of the Ice Age and North Country National Scenic Trails, submitted extensive written comments by letter on April 25, 2008. Based on additional written comments from Mr. Gilbert in 2010, the department believes that many of the concerns he expressed have been addressed. Several of his suggested changes have been incorporated in the rule language and some of his concerns are discussed in the response to public comments above.

Modifications Made

The department is proposing significant modifications as a result of hearings. The first is the establishment of a statement of purpose at the request of people who wished to highlight that the primary purpose of the Ice Age Trail is to be a footpath for pedestrian use.

In the initial proposal on which the first three hearings were held, three criteria were to be considered when developing a recommendation to prohibit hunting on all or a portion of a property. Under this proposal, department staff will consider a set of criteria before making a recommendation to allow hunting. The list of factors to be considered has been expanded and is the same as those established for evaluating the prohibition of a nature based outdoor recreation activity on other stewardship lands. This proposal is more consistent with management of traditional state park properties because there is not a presumption that hunting is automatically allowed upon purchase.

Allowing hunting during all normal seasons was the only option under the initial proposal. Under this proposal, hunting could be allowed during all normal seasons but an extensive list of alternatives is established. The additional options create opportunities to prevent user conflict and maintain the primary purpose of the trail by separating users by time and/or location on the property.

Where hunting on the travelled portion of the trail is not allowed, this and the earlier proposal both allowed a person to cross the trail with an unloaded gun. In this version, a gun does not need to be unloaded when the trail is crossed by the shortest route possible. The department received a comment suggesting that a requirement to unload a gun such as a lever action rifle at trailside did not improve safety. Shooting from or across the trail in an area where hunting is not allowed is prohibited.

Appearances at the public hearings

Hearings were held in three locations during the third and fourth weeks in April, 2008. The hearing locations were; DNR South Central Region Headquarters in Fitchburg, a video conference between the department's central office and UW Marathon County, and Havenwoods State Forest in Milwaukee. In July, 2010 an additional hearing was held in Fitchburg.

Summary of Hearings on WM-04-08.

	Speaking in support	Speaking in Information opposition only	
Fitchburg	1	4	
Wausau	1	1	
Milwaukee	1	4	1
Fitchburg (2010)	2	3	1

Changes to Rule Analysis and Fiscal Estimate

The rule analysis and fiscal estimate were changed to reflect the modifications described previously under "Modifications made".

Response to Legislative Council Rules Clearinghouse Report

The Legislative Council Clearinghouse had no comments.

Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

These rules are applicable to individual sportspersons and impose no compliance or reporting requirements for small business, nor are any design or operational standards contained in the rule. Therefore, under s. 227.19(3m), Stats., a final regulatory flexibility analysis is not required.

ORDER OF THE STATE OF WISCONSIN NATURAL RESOURCES BOARD CREATING RULES

The Wisconsin Natural Resources Board proposes an order to amend 45.09(1) and to create NR 10.275(4) and 45.09(11) relating to hunting in state parks, including department managed portions of State Ice Age Trail areas.

WM-04-08

Analysis prepared by the Department of Natural Resources

Statutes Interpreted and Explanation of Agency Authority: The department has interpreted the following statutes as establishing the state trail system, which includes State Ice Age trail areas, as part of the state park system and providing the authority to promulgate rules regarding hunting and the use of state trails: ss. 23.17(2), 23.175(2)(a), 23.293(1)(c), 27.01 and 29.089, Stats.

Statutory Authority and Explanation of Agency Authority: Statutes which establish that Ice Age trail areas are part of the state park system and which authorize the promulgation of rules that allow hunting in state parks include ss. 23.17(2), 23.175(2)(a), 23.293(1)(c), 27.01, and 29.089 Stats. These statutes specifically provide the department with authority to promulgate rules necessary to govern the conduct of state park visitors and to establish hunting seasons in state parks. These statutes also establish that Ice Age trail areas and other trails are included as part of the state park system. All rules promulgated under this authority are subject to review under ch. 227, Stats.

Related Rule or Statute: There are no state rules or statutes currently under promulgation that directly relate to the provisions that are proposed in this administrative order. 2007 ACT 20 requires the department to promulgate administrative rules that establish conditions for access to lands purchased with stewardship funding for nature-based recreation, however, state park properties are exempt from that requirement.

Plain Language Analysis: Legal interpretation describes State Ice Age trail area properties and other trails as falling under the definition of state parks. Under s. 29.089, Stats., hunting is prohibited in state parks unless authorized by rule. Because of this determination, the authority proposed in this rule is necessary in order for hunting to continue on areas where it has been an accepted activity. This proposal would let the department authorize hunting on newly purchased property, without writing individual rules, after considering factors to determine the suitability of that activity. The proposal does not require that hunting be allowed. This rule change gives the department the ability to be very responsive in allowing hunting at State Ice Age trail area properties when a determination is made to allow it.

Prior to allowing hunting, the department must consider five factors which are established in the rule. The rule establishes that hunting may occur during any season established by the department for deer, elk, turkeys or small game, or that hunting may occur only during certain deer seasons or at certain times of the year.

This rule would allow opening portions of an Ice Age Trail area property so that hunting could occur only in those areas.

At state trails which are not part of the Ice Age Trail area system, hunting would continue to be prohibited except where allowed by administrative rule.

Hunting is currently allowed at some state parks and trails by rule but, where it is not allowed, all firearms must be unloaded and enclosed in carrying case. This proposal would allow a person who is hunting on adjoining lands to cross the travelled portion of the Ice Age Trail, even if hunting is not allowed from the trail, without casing or unloading their firearm, airgun or bow.

Summary of, and Comparison with, Existing or Proposed Federal Regulations: Federal regulations allow states to manage the wildlife resources located within their boundaries provided they do not conflict with regulations established in the Federal Register. None of these rule changes violate or conflict with the provisions established in the Federal Code of Regulations.

The Ice Age trail was authorized by Congress in 1980 as a component of the National Trails System. A portion of funding for property acquisition is federal but actual property acquisition, trail planning, development and management is conducted by the department and partners. Federal statutes do not place specific restrictions on the use of Ice Age Trail properties for hunting.

Comparison with rules in adjacent states: These proposed rule changes will result in regulations and policies that are consistent with the management of recreational trails in surrounding states. All of Wisconsin's surrounding states administer recreational trail systems that are used by hikers, bicyclists, and others. In all surrounding states, partnerships exist with local governments and friends groups who may conduct actual trail maintenance. The availability of hunting opportunities varies significantly even within each state.

Michigan's state trails are generally open to hunting and trapping but certain stretches are closed to hunting, especially in or near municipalities. Michigan informs trail users that hunting may be occurring in an area by posting signs at access points. Minnesota manages an extensive state trail system where hunting is generally allowed except that firearms discharge from or across the tread-way, the portion of the trail designed for travel, is prohibited. Iowa's trail system is administered by their DNR and transportation department and consists mostly of linear corridors. Hunting and trapping are allowed along specific stretches of Iowa trails which are described on the department's website and by posting of signs at trail intersections. Illinois provides significant hunting opportunities on designated park properties where there are hiking trails. Those hunting opportunities are available only on specific portions of those properties. Some portions of Illinois trails are closed to hiking during the firearm deer season and hikers are encouraged to wear orange during the squirrel season.

Summary of Factual Data and Analytical Methodologies: The rule changes included in this order do not deviate from current department policy on the management of trails or hunting in state parks and hunting in general. Wisconsin provides more than 2,000 miles of trails that allow a variety of uses. This rule specifically addresses State Ice Age trail areas which are owned by the department and managed under the authority of "State trails" under s. 23.175, Stats., and "Ice Age trail" under s. 23.17, Stats. State trails are also state parks under 23.175(2)(a), Stats. Other types of department lands, such as wildlife or fishery areas, or Ice Age Trail area property that is operated under a lease or agreement with other individuals or units of government are not affected.

There are approximately 70 individual properties which are identified as State Ice Age trail areas. Properties range in size from one acre to 1,200 acres with an average size of approximately 80 acres. Hunting currently occurs on a number of properties but not others because of their proximity to urban development or small size. While these are primarily trail properties intended for pedestrian travel on a trail tread, many properties do contain acreage that is not part of a linear trail and which may be suitable for hunting activities.

Under this proposal, Ice Age Trail area properties would be closed to hunting unless a decision to open them is made either upon acquisition or later as part of the master planning process under Ch. NR 44. Properties which are already owned by the department and where hunting is already occurring could remain open. This rule provides greater flexibility for Ice Age Trail area properties than the department currently has at state parks, where a separate administrative rule must be promulgated each time a new hunting opportunity is created.

This proposal directs the department to consider several factors when deciding to allow hunting at an Ice Age Trail area property. These criteria are provided so that the possibility of separating users by space

can be considered. Other criteria include whether the lands are in an area where hunting is already occurring safely or if the property is located in a highly developed area. The specific criteria are:

- The primary purpose for the project.
- User incompatibility and how this incompatibility may lead to the primary purpose of the project being significantly altered or curtailed.
- The complexity, feasibility, practicality and cost effectiveness of separating activities by time and space or any other manner that might mitigate user incompatibility and or reduce the need for enforcement.
- The size, shape and location of the property as well as surrounding land uses, including the use
 of public lands immediately adjacent to the property which may or may not have been funded with
 stewardship funds. The use of adjacent lands shall not be used as the sole factor in making a
 determination.
- The mix of activities at the location of the property that, to the extent practicable, will provide a
 quality experience for all compatible users and uses.

This proposal creates several options for providing hunting opportunities where it is determined that hunting will be allowed. During the period prior to master planning for a property, one of the following options may be selected to allow hunting for deer, elk, turkeys or small game:

- Hunting is allowed during all of the seasons established in s. NR 10.01.
- Hunting is allowed during all deer hunting seasons which occur beginning on the Saturday immediately preceding the Thanksgiving holiday and continuing through the close of the late archery season.
- Archery deer hunting is allowed during the period beginning on November 1 and continuing through the remainder of the statewide archery deer season.
- Hunting for any species for which a season is open during the period beginning on November 1 and ending on March 31.

Under the proposal, hunting must be re-evaluated as part of a property master plan. The master planning process established in ch. NR 44, Wis. Admin. Code is an opportunity for more significant public involvement and professional evaluation of the management of recreational use for a property. Master plans must be approved and may be amended by the Natural Resources Board under ch. NR 44.04(3) Wis. Admin. Code. Plans are re-evaluated and may be amended. As part of the master planning process, a prohibition of hunting could be re-established, hunting could be prohibited, or it could be allowed for deer, elk, turkeys, or small game. The options for allowing hunting which may be adopted as part of a property master plan are:

- Hunting is allowed during all of the seasons established in s. NR 10.01.
- Hunting may be limited to the period beginning on November 1 and continuing through March 31 for all species for which there is an open season.
- Hunting may be limited to deer during seasons which occur beginning on the Saturday immediately preceding the Thanksgiving holiday and continuing through the close of the late archery season.
- Hunting may be limited to the use of archery gear only during all of the seasons established in s. NR 10.01 except that it may only occur during the period beginning on November 1 and continuing through March 31.
- Hunting may be limited to portions of a property by posted notice.
- Hunting may be prohibited on the travelled portion of the trail.
- Hunting may be prohibited on the travelled portion of the trail and within 50 feet of the center of the travelled portion.
- Deer Hunting may be allowed during the four day October firearm deer seasons established in s. NR10.01(3)(e) and (et).
- Turkey hunting may be allowed during the first three spring turkey hunting periods in s. NR 10.01(2)(f).

The selection of a liberal hunting season option is anticipated in situations where little or no user conflict is anticipated and there will be little need to separate property users by time. In order to preserve the primary use of Ice Age Trail Area properties, it may be necessary to separate users by providing some of the more limited hunting opportunities listed above. For example, it would be possible immediately upon purchase or after master planning to allow hunting but limit it to the firearm and archery deer hunting that occurs beginning with the traditional November firearm deer season and continuing through the close of the late archery season. Limiting hunting to only those seasons occurring during the period beginning on November 1 and continuing through March 31 is an example of an option which could be selected to prevent user conflict. Other options which could only be selected through the master planning process include allowing firearm deer hunting during the October season or limiting hunting to archery-only for all species. Allowing turkey hunting during the first three of the six spring turkey hunting periods is consistent with management of traditional state park properties. It may ultimately be possible to offer hunting opportunities on more properties by providing as many options as possible rather than forcing managers to choose between recommending all hunting or none.

This rule also provides flexibility to avoid user conflict by separating property users by space. Under the proposal, portions of individual properties could be closed to hunting upon acquisition or as part of a property's master plan. This flexibility is consistent with current practice in traditional state parks, where hunting is not allowed in areas such as campgrounds.

In places the Ice Age trail traverses other department properties that are managed as public hunting or fishing grounds or for some other purpose. This rule would not change the types of activities that are currently allowed on those properties or on a trail where it traverses that property.

It is currently illegal to possess a firearm, air gun or bow at state parks unless the gun is unloaded and enclosed in a carrying case or a person is engaged in a legal hunting activity at that park. This proposal establishes an exemption from that requirement for hunters who are crossing the Ice Age Trail even if hunting is not allowed on the trail tread where it is being crossed. This exemption is limited to the Ice Age Trail and does not apply to other state trails.

Analysis and Supporting Documents Used to Determine Effect on Small Business or in Preparation of Economic Impact Report: These rules, and the legislation which grants the department rule making authority, do not have a significant fiscal effect on the private sector or small businesses.

Effects on Small Businesses: These rules are applicable to individual sportspersons and impose no compliance or reporting requirements for small business, nor are any design or operation standards contained in the rule.

Agency Contact Person: Scott Loomans, 101 S. Webster St., PO Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921. (608) 267-2452.

Section 1. NR 10.275(4) is created to read:

NR 10.275(4) ICE AGE TRAIL. (a) *Purpose*. Section 23.175(2)(a) Stats., requires the department to designate department owned lands purchased for the Ice Age Trail as a state park. The State Ice Age Trail areas are managed primarily as a footpath for pedestrian use. Where s. 29.089, Stats., prohibits hunting in state parks unless the department has authorized hunting by rule, this subsection is created to establish a procedure to determine when portions of state ice age trail areas may be opened to hunting including the factors to be considered in making these determinations.

(b) *Applicability*. The provisions of this subsection apply to State Ice Age Trail areas as established in s. 23.17, Stats., when the property is owned by the department.

- (c) Prior to adoption of a master plan for a State Ice Age Trail area property under ch. NR 44, the natural resources board may authorize hunting pursuant to s. 29.089(3) on a property or portion of a property by posted notice by selecting one of the options established in subd. 1. after considering the factors in subd 2.
 - 1. Times when hunting may be allowed. a. during all of the seasons established in s. NR 10.01.
- b. deer hunting may be allowed during seasons which occur beginning on the Saturday immediately preceding the Thanksgiving holiday and continuing through the close of the late archery season.
- c. deer hunting may be allowed during archery deer hunting seasons except that hunting may only occur during the period beginning on November 1.
- d. during the period beginning on November 1 and ending on March 31 for all species that have open seasons.
 - 2. Factors to be considered. a. The primary purpose for the project.
- b. User incompatibility and how this incompatibility may lead to the primary purpose of the project being significantly altered or curtailed.
- c. The complexity, feasibility, practicality and cost effectiveness of separating activities by time and space or any other manner that might mitigate user incompatibility and/or reduce the need for enforcement.
- d. The size, shape and location of the property as well as surrounding land uses, including the use of public lands immediately adjacent to the property which may or may not have been funded with stewardship funds. The use of adjacent lands shall not be used as the sole factor in making a determination.
- e. The mix of activities at the location of the property that, to the extent practicable, will provide a quality experience for all compatible users and uses.
- (d) Upon approval of the master planning process under ch. NR 44, the natural resources board may:
- 1. Close to hunting a property that was opened prior to adoption of a master plan, continue a closure that has already been established, or may authorize hunting pursuant to s. 29.089(3) on the property or a portion of the property under the seasons established in s. NR 10.01 after considering the factors in par. (c) and the impact of hunting on other users of the property.
 - 2. Establish one or more of the following conditions for hunting on a property:
- a. hunting may be limited to the period beginning on November 1 and continuing through March 31 for all species that have an open season.
- b. deer hunting may be allowed during seasons which occur beginning on the Saturday immediately preceding the Thanksgiving holiday and continuing through the close of the late archery season.
- c. hunting may be limited to the use of archery gear only during all of the seasons established in s. NR 10.01 except that it may only occur during the period beginning on November 1 and continuing through March 31.
 - d. hunting may be limited to portions of a property by posted notice.
 - e. hunting may be prohibited on the travelled portion of the trail.
- f. hunting may be prohibited on the travelled portion of the trail and within 50 feet of the center of the travelled portion.
- g. deer hunting may be allowed during the four day October firearm season established in s. NR10.01(3)(e) and (et).
- h. turkey hunting may be allowed during the first three spring turkey hunting periods in s. NR 10.01(2)(f).

(e) When traversing another department property, portions of the Ice Age trail are subject to the hunting and trapping rules of that property unless otherwise posted.

Section 2. NR 45.09(1) is amended to read:

NR 45.09(1) No person may take, catch, kill, hunt, trap or pursue any wild animal or bird, or discharge any firearm, or have in possession or under control any firearm or air gun as defined in s. 939.22, Stats., unless it is unloaded and enclosed in a carrying case, or any bow, slingshot or spring-load devise designed for shooting a projectile unless the same is unstrung or enclosed in a carrying case while in any state park, fish hatchery, or within 100 yards of any state campground, picnic area or other special use area designated by the department by posted notice. No person may discharge a firearm, bow, crossbow, or airgun from, on, or across any Ice Age Trail corridor which is not open to hunting.

Section 3. NR 45.09(11) is created to read:

NR 45.09(10) Nothing in this section shall prohibit the possession of an uncased bow, cocked and unloaded cross bow, or uncased and loaded firearm or air gun for the purpose of crossing the travelled portion of the Ice Age Trail within State Ice Age Trail areas by the shortest route possible.

Section 4. Effective dates. This rule shall take effect on the first day of the month following publication in the Wisconsin Administrative Register as provided in s. 227.22(2)(intro.), Stats.

Section 5. Board adoption. This rule was approved and adopted by the State of Wisconsin Natural Resources Board on August 11, 2010.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin	
	STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARMTENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
	By Matthew J. Frank, Secretary

(SEAL)

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R10/2000) Fiscal Estimate — 2009 Session Amendment Number if Applicable LRB Number □ Updated □ Original Administrative Rule Number Supplemental Bill Number Corrected WM-04-08 Subject Hunting on State Ice Age Trail areas Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Costs — May be possible to absorb Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation. within agency's budget. ⊠ Yes ☐ No ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs □ Create New Appropriation Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: 1. | Increase Costs Increase Revenues ☐ Villages ☐ Cities ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Towns ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Counties ☐ Others Decrease Revenues 2. Decrease Costs 4. ☐ Permissive ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations **Fund Sources Affected** ss. 20.370(1)(ea) and (1)(mu) ☑ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☑ SEG ☐ SEG-S Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate These rule revisions would authorize hunting at state Ice Age trail areas after considering several factors. Hunting could be allowed during all hunting seasons established by the Department or more limited opportunities could be offered. This rulemaking is needed if hunting is to occur on Department-owned Ice Age trail properties because these lands are part of the state park system, where hunting is prohibited by statute unless the Department allows it by administrative rule. State Fiscal Effect--The primary costs associated with this rule are for signage that may be needed to deliniate areas where hunting is allowed. Additionally, the Department typically identifies the boundaries of Ice Age trail areas and may provide information about allowable activities, but that is not a requirement of this rule. Signage is a current expense of property management and the extent to which costs will increase is expected to be minimal and can be absorbed into the current budget. For reference, the Department has recently purchased standard size masonite signs for \$2.55 each from Badger State Industries

For reference, the Department has recently purchased standard size masonite signs for \$2.55 each from Badger State Industries and a regular supplier of sign posts for \$6.50 a piece. Including labor and mileage, it is estimated that each sign will cost \$20 to place. If signs were placed at each corner and one per quarter mile of a true 80 acre parcel, then 6 signs X \$20 = \$120 for signage per parcel. There are approximately 70 Ice Age trail areas with an average size of 80 acres. Therefore, 70 parcels X \$120 = \$8,400 in one-time signage expenses. Actual costs resulting from this proposal may be lower because signs may already have been placed on some properties and because signage is only required where hunting is prohibited. An exact estimate of costs is difficult to make because irregularly shaped parcels will require different levels of signage.

Local Fiscal Effect-

In some cases, local governments and other entities cooperate with the Department in the management of state trail properties. Since the proposed rules will apply only to Department-managed lands, there will be no local fiscal impact.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

None. New properties will require signs and signs will require maintenance however these expenses are not new because posting property boundaries is a standard DNR practice. Long range fiscal implications will be minimal and can be absorbed within current budgets.

Prepared By:	Telephone No.	Agency
Joe Polasek	266-2794	Department of Natural Resources
Authorized Signature	Telephone No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)
We Notare	266-2794	07-15-10

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2047 (R10/2000)

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet — 2009 Session Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

		LRB Numbe	ſ	Amen	dment Number	if Applicable	
☐ Original	☑ Updated			Admir	Administrative Rule Number		
☐ Corrected	☐ Supplemental	Bill Number			M-04-08		
Cubinat							
Subject Hunting on State Ic	e Age Trail areas						
One-time Costs or Re	venue Impacts for State and/or	Local Gove	mment (do not i	nclude in anı	nualized fiscal e	effect):	
\$8,400 in one-time	signage expenses						
	Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on State Funds from:				
			Increased		Decrease	d Costs	
A. State Costs by C			\$		\$ -		
	tions — Salaries and Fringes		(FTE)	(-	FTE)	
(FTE Position							
State Opera	tions — Other Costs				_		
Local Assist	ance						
Aids to Indiv	riduals or Organizations				-	ο΄	
Total	State Costs by Category		\$ Increased	0 I Costs	\$ - Decrease	d Costs	
B. State Costs by S	Source of Funds					/	
GPR			\$		\$ -		
FED					-		
PRO/PRS					-		
SEG/SEG-S	3			0	- Decreased	0	
State Revenues	Complete this only when processe or decrease state rev	venues (e.g.,	Increased	Revenue	Decreased	Revenue	
GPR Taxes	tax increase, decrease in licer	ise fee, etc.)	\$,	\$ -	,	
GPR Earne	ed				-		
FED							
PRO/PRS					-		
	^				-		
SEG/SEG-			\$	0	\$ -	0	
Total State Revenues \$ 0 \$							
Net Annuaitzed Fi		State		Loca	<u>al</u>		
N 4 Ob in Cost	•	\$	0	\$		0	
Net Change in Cost		\$	0	\$		0	
Net Change in Nevertico			Agency				
Prepared By: Telephone		e NO.					
Joe Polasek			a No	Department of Natural Resources No. Date (mm/dd/ccyy)			
Authorized Signatur	Authorized Signature Telephone		5 (1 0.	07-15-10			
De Volesce 266-2794 07-18-10							

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R10/2000)

Fiscal Estimate — 2009 Session

☐ Original	☑ Updated	LRB Number Bill Number		Amendment Number if Applicat	
Corrected	Supplemental			Administrative Rule Number WM-04-08	
Subject Hunting on State Ice	Age Trail areas				
or affects a sum sufficie Increase Existing Ar Decrease Existing Ar Create New Approph Local: No Local Good Indeterminate Increase Costs Permissive Permissive Permissive Fund Sources Affected GPR FED Assumptions Used in Ar These rule revisions allowed during all hurulemaking is needed park system, where he State Fiscal Effect—The primary costs ass Additionally, the Depallowable activities, be extent to which costs For reference, the Depand a regular supplier place. If signs were posignage per parcel. The signage per parcel on secosts is difficult to mathematical Effect—In some cases, local good cases, local good cases.	atte Inly if bill makes a direct appropriation appropriation. Increase Expropriation Decrease in the propriation Decrease in the propriation Decrease in the propriation Decrease in the propriation Decrease in the properties and because signal and because irregularly shaped propriation. Increase Decrease De	Revenues Existing Revenues Revenues issive	within agen Yes Decrease C 5. Types of Lo Towns Counties School C Affected Chapss. 20.370(1)(extended to deliniate and trail areas and measurement expense of sorbed into the cut of t	cal Governmental Units Affected: Villages	
Long-Range Fiscal Implic					
None. New properties property boundaries is current budgets.	will require signs and signs wil a standard DNR practice. Long	l require maintenance range fiscal implication	however these ex ons will be minin	penses are not new because posting all and can be absorbed within	
Prepared By:	7	elephone No.	Agency		
Joe Polasek	2	66-2794	Departmen	nt of Natural Resources	
Authorized Signature	ρ	elephone No.	Date (mm	n/dd/ccyy)	
1 NOV IN	12 /2	66-2794	0	7-15-10	

Wisconsin Department of Administration
Division of Executive Budget and Finance
DOA-2047 (R10/2000)

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet — 2009 Session Detalled Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Detailed E	LRB Number		Amen	Amendment Number if Applicable			
☐ Original ☐ Corrected	☐ Supplemental	Bill Number		Administrative Rule Number WM-04-08				
Subject Hunting on State Io	ce Age Trail areas			1				
				eludo la an	nualized fisca	al effect):		
One-time Costs or Re \$8,400 in one-time	evenue Impacts for State and e signage expenses	d/or Local Gover	mment (do not in	Clude III all	nuanzoa nooc	,		
	Annualized Costs:		Annualized F	iscal Impa	ct on State Fu	unds from:		
			Increased (Costs	Decrea	sed Costs		
A. State Costs by	Category	se l	\$		\$ -			
	ations — Salaries and Fringe	,3	(FTE	(-	FTE)		
	on Changes)				-			
State Oper	ations — Other Costs				_			
Local Assis	stance							
Aids to Ind	ividuals or Organizations					0		
Tota	al State Costs by Category		\$ Increased	0 Costs	\$ - Decrea	ased Costs		
B. State Costs by	Source of Funds				\$ -	i		
GPR			\$		Ψ			
FED								
PRO/PRS					-	0		
SEG/SEG	i-S		1.7	0	- Decrea	0 sed Revenue		
State Revenue	Complete this only who increase or decrease statax increase, decrease in	en proposal will te revenues (e.g., i license fee, etc.)	Increased F	Revenue	\$ -			
GPR Tax	es				-			
GPR Ear	ned	,			_			
FED								
PRO/PR	S							
SEG/SEC	G-S				_	0		
To	otal State Revenues		\$	0	\$ -	0		
	N	let Annualized I				<u>Local</u>		
			<u>State</u>			0		
Net Change in Co	osts		0	-	\$			
Net Change in Re	evenues	\$	0		\$	0		
Prepared By:		Telepho	ne No.	Agency				
Joe Polasek	\wedge	266-2794			nent of Natural Resources			
Authorized Signa	ture	Telepho	ne No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy) 07- 15-10				
Ma,	Volosia	266-2794	1	0	1-12-			